## REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the Office Action mailed October 2, 2006 and offers the following remarks to accompany the above amendments.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for indicating that claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form and for indicating that claims 7-12 and 14-18 are allowed.

Applicant reserves the right to rewrite claim 2 at a later time.

Claims 3-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.

Patent No. 5,063,600 to Norwood in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,483,602 B1 to Haneda.

Applicant respectfully traverses and reserves the right to argue against Norwood and Haneda in the future.

Applicant contacted Examiner Steven P. Sax through its representative on December 13, 2006, to discuss the newly cited references with respect to claim 3, which was previously indicated to be an allowable claim. Applicant's representative and Examiner Sax discussed the language of claim 3 in the context of these references. The Examiner agreed that a plurality of media types is not shown by these references. Applicant is hereby amending claim 3 to recite that the media objects have a plurality of media types associated therewith. Accordingly, the rejection of claims 3-6 should be withdrawn. Claim 2, which depends from claim 3, has been amended to correct antecedent basis based upon the amendments to claim 3. Applicant respectfully submits that claims 3-6 are now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

The present application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. The Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicant's representative regarding any remaining issues in an effort to expedite allowance and issuance of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

WITHROW & TERRANOVA, PLLC.

By:

Benjamin S. Withrow Registration No. 49,876

P.O. Box 1287

Cary, NC 27512

Telephone: (919) 654-4520

Date: December 21, 2006 Attorney Docket: 1104-040